

LIST OF TABLES

	<i>Page</i>
Table ES-1	Summary of the Second-Level Screening of Feasible Wastewater Treatment AlternativesES-6
Table ES-2	Summary of the First-Level Screening of Conceptual Effluent Management Alternatives ES-10
Table ES-3	Acreage Required for Components of the Final Project Alternatives ES-12
Table ES-4	Summary of the Qualitative Screening and Ranking of Final Project Alternatives..... ES-14
Table ES-5	Capital Cost Comparison of Final Project Alternatives..... ES-14
Table ES-6	Summary of the Quantitative Screening and Ranking of Final Project Alternatives ES-14
Table ES-7	Qualitative and Quantitative Rankings of Final Project Alternatives ES-15
Table ES-8	Identification of the Recommended Project..... ES-15
Table ES-9	Capital Cost Breakdown of the Recommended Project..... ES-19
Table ES-10	Equivalent Annual Cost of the Recommended Project..... ES-20
Table 2-1	Major San Andreas Fault Activity 2-3
Table 2-2	Maximum Probable Earthquakes for Antelope Valley Faults 2-3
Table 2-3	City of Lancaster Race Data 2-11
Table 2-4	Antelope Valley Employment by Sector 2-12
Table 2-5	Antelope Valley Manufacturing Employment..... 2-12
Table 2-6	Adopted Land Use in Lancaster Area..... 2-13
Table 2-7	Diversions From Littlerock Creek Reservoir 2-18
Table 3-1	Local Industrial Wastewater Discharge Limits..... 3-3
Table 3-2	Suitable Uses of Recycled Water per Title 22 3-9
Table 3-3	Concentration Standards for Biosolids 3-15
Table 4-1	Summary of Current Design Criteria for the Lancaster Water Reclamation Plant 4-2
Table 4-2	Landfills/Composting Facilities Capable of Accepting Biosolids 4-4
Table 4-3	Estimated Losses From the Lancaster Water Reclamation Plant..... 4-5

	<i>Page</i>
Table 4-4	Estimated Losses from Piute Ponds 4-6
Table 4-5	Estimated Losses from the Impoundment Areas 4-6
Table 4-6	Typical Alfalfa Irrigation Schedule 4-7
Table 4-7	Historical Average Recycled Water Irrigation at Nebeker Ranch..... 4-7
Table 4-8	Historical Average Recycled Water Delivery to Apollo Lakes Regional County Park..... 4-8
Table 4-9	Lancaster Water Reclamation Plant Effluent Management Capacity 4-8
Table 5-1	Comparison of Effluent Quality to Irrigation Water Quality Standard Guidelines..... 5-5
Table 5-2	Lancaster Water Reclamation Plant and Antelope Valley Tertiary Treatment Plant Influent Flow Rates for 2002..... 5-6
Table 5-3	Lancaster Water Reclamation Plant and Antelope Valley Tertiary Treatment Plant Effluent Flow Rates for 2002 5-7
Table 5-4	Lancaster Water Reclamation Plant Routine Influent Monitoring Results for 2002 5-7
Table 5-5	Lancaster Water Reclamation Plant Influent Monitoring Results for Constituents Monitored Annually for 2002 5-7
Table 5-6	Lancaster Water Reclamation Plant Routine Undisinfected Secondary Effluent Monitoring Results for 2002 5-9
Table 5-7	Lancaster Water Reclamation Plant Semi-Annual Undisinfected Secondary Effluent Monitoring Results for 2002 5-9
Table 5-8	Lancaster Water Reclamation Plant Undisinfected Secondary Effluent Monitoring Results for Constituents Monitored Annually for 2002..... 5-9
Table 5-9	Lancaster Water Reclamation Plant Routine Disinfected Secondary Effluent Monitoring Results for 2002 5-10
Table 5-10	Antelope Valley Tertiary Treatment Plant Routine Disinfected Tertiary Effluent Monitoring Results for 2002 5-10
Table 5-11	District No. 14 Planning Area Population Projections 5-11
Table 5-12	Derivation of the District No. 14 Residential/Commercial per Capita Wastewater Generation Rate 5-12
Table 5-13	Summary of District No. 14 Wastewater Flow Projections 5-14
Table 6-1	Summary of the First-Level Screening of Conceptual Wastewater Treatment Alternatives 6-6

Table 6-2	Summary of the Second-Level Screening of Feasible Wastewater Treatment Alternatives	6-8
Table 6-3	Summary of the First-Level Screening of Conceptual Effluent Management Alternatives	6-13
Table 6-4	Acreage Required for Components of the Final Project Alternatives	6-18
Table 6-5	Summary of the Qualitative Screening and Ranking of Final Project Alternatives.....	6-23
Table 6-6	Capital Cost Comparison of Final Project Alternatives.....	6-24
Table 6-7	Summary of the Quantitative Screening and Ranking of Final Project Alternatives.....	6-25
Table 6-8	Qualitative and Quantitative Rankings of Final Project Alternatives	6-25
Table 6-9	Identification of the Recommended Project.....	6-26
Table 7-1	Estimated Effluent Delivery to Piute Ponds, the Impoundment Areas, and Apollo Lakes Regional County Park.....	7-3
Table 7-2	Acreage Required for Stage V and Stage VI of the Recommended Project.....	7-7
Table 7-3	Summary of Design Criteria for the Lancaster Water Reclamation Plant	7-9
Table 7-4	Summary of the Screening and Ranking of Storage Reservoir Study Areas.....	7-13
Table 7-5	Summary of the First-Level Screening of Agricultural Reuse Study Areas.....	7-15
Table 7-6	Summary of the Second-Level Screening and Ranking of Suitable Agricultural Reuse Study Areas.....	7-18
Table 7-7	Capital Cost Breakdown of the Recommended Project.....	7-18
Table 7-8	Equivalent Annual Cost of the Recommended Project.....	7-19
Table 7-9	Major Upgrade and Expansion Components of the Recommended Project.....	7-20
Table 7-10	Capital Cost of Upgrade and Expansion Components of the Recommended Project.....	7-20